

## Allowable Stresses in 1967

### What was the allowable stress for A36 steel, fabricated in 1967?

Like today, the allowable stresses in 1967 were based on the limit state being investigated.  $F_y$  for ASTM A36 steel was and still is 36 ksi. Some example cases are as follows:

#### Flexure

The allowable strong-axis bending stress for a compact shape braced at a small enough interval to preclude lateral-torsional buckling was:

$$F_b = 0.66F_y = 23.8 \text{ ksi (use of 24 ksi was common)}$$

The allowable strong-axis bending stress for a non-compact shape braced at a small enough interval to preclude lateral-torsional buckling was:

$$F_b = 0.60F_y = 21.7 \text{ ksi (use of 22 ksi was common)}$$

The allowable bending stress for bracing at larger intervals was lower than these values.

#### Compression

The allowable axial compression stress was based on the slenderness ratio with a maximum of  $F_a = 0.60F_y$ . The actual allowable was much lower for any typical column length, of course.

#### Tension

The allowable tension on the net section, except at pin holes, was

$$F_t = 0.60F_y$$

See the 1963 AISC *Specification* for further information.

*Kurt Gustafson, S.E., P.E.*

## Document Discrepancies

### In case of a discrepancy between plans and specifications for buildings, which one governs?

The subject of document discrepancies is covered in Section 3.3 of the AISC *Code of Standard Practice for Steel Buildings and Bridges* (a free download at [www.aisc.org/code](http://www.aisc.org/code)) as follows:

“When discrepancies exist between the Design Drawings and Specifications, the Design Drawings shall govern.”

Note that this section also states that any discrepancies that are discovered must be reported for resolution. It also states that it is not the responsibility of the construction team to discover discrepancies.

This may seem like a confusing answer, so let's go further. If a discrepancy is noted by the fabricator or detailer, it should be reported so that the design team can advise what information is correct and the work can be performed with the correct information. However, the fabricator, detailer, and others on the construction team are not expected to find discrepancies. Sometimes, the presence of a discrepancy only comes to light after a piece has been detailed, fabricated and/or erected. The quoted sentence provides a way to resolve if the work already performed has been performed properly, and who should pay for any re-work that is needed.

*Kurt Gustafson, S.E., P.E.*

## Pipe Design

### Section F8 of the 2005 AISC Specification addresses flexural design of round HSS. Can Section F8 be used for the flexural design of steel pipe?

Yes, it is common to do so, and the AISC *Specification* explicitly includes steel pipe complying with ASTM A53 Gr. B. The Glossary of the 2005 AISC *Specification* defines HSS as a square, rectangular or round hollow structural section produced in accordance with a pipe or tubing product specification. Section A3.1a(3) of the *Specification* lists pipe as meeting the ASTM A53/A53M, Gr. B standard.

*Kurt Gustafson, S.E., P.E.*

## Large Bolted Connections

### We currently have a job with 1¼-in. diameter bolts (approximately 50 per connection) with 2 plies of 3-in.-thick steel. The hole size specified is 1⅝ in. Needless to say all of the holes do not exactly line up perfect. What are the dimensional tolerances for the locations of holes in large bolted connections?

Neither the AISC *Code of Standard Practice* nor the AISC *Specification* provides tolerances on the locations of bolt holes. The holes however must be placed such that the other tolerances given in the AISC *Code of Standard Practice* can be maintained and the bolts can be installed in the holes. That is, the only requirement is that the joint must fit up, and it is up to the fabricator to employ a method that will achieve this. Some suggestions for how to do this follow.

When dealing with thick plates, consideration must be given to the use of oversized holes and slip-critical connections. The use of slip-critical connections will usually require more bolts. This may be detrimental to economy in both the shop and the field, due to the greater number of holes to be drilled, and bolts to be installed. There will also be additional cost involved in surface preparations and inspections.

The use of bearing bolts in standard holes will mean fewer holes to drill and bolts to install, but may require reaming if things do not fit-up. This reaming can be both time-consuming and costly in the field.

*Larry S. Muir, P.E.*

# steel interchange

## High-Seismic Column Splice

Section 8.4a(2) of AISC 341 requires the available strength for each flange (LRFD) is noted as  $0.5 A_r F_y$ . Is the term  $A_r$  the area of one flange or the total area of the two flanges?

The term  $A_r$  refers to the area of one flange of the smaller column connected.

Thanks for your question—this has been clarified in the draft

The complete collection of Steel Interchange questions and answers is available online. Find questions and answers related to just about any topic by using our full-text search capability. Visit Steel Interchange online at [www.modernsteel.com](http://www.modernsteel.com).

Kurt Gustafson is the director of technical assistance in AISC's Steel Solutions Center. Larry Muir is a part-time consultant to AISC. Farid Alfawakhiri is senior engineer at the American Iron and Steel Institute.

Steel Interchange is a forum to exchange useful and practical professional ideas and information on all phases of steel building and bridge construction. Opinions and suggestions are welcome on any subject covered in this magazine.

The opinions expressed in Steel Interchange do not necessarily represent an official position of the American Institute of Steel Construction, Inc. and have not been reviewed. It is recognized that the design of structures is within the scope and expertise of a competent licensed structural engineer, architect or other licensed professional for the application of principles to a particular structure.

If you have a question or problem that your fellow readers might help you solve, please forward it to us. At the same time, feel free to respond to any of the questions that you have read here. Contact Steel Interchange via AISC's Steel Solutions Center:



Steel  
**SolutionsCenter**

One East Wacker Dr., Suite 700  
Chicago, IL 60601  
tel: 866.ASK.AISC • fax: 312.803.4709  
[solutions@aisc.org](mailto:solutions@aisc.org)